

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

10/522,703 01/30/2006 2118 08/17/2009 IOHN RUSSELL UREN 1590 BELLEVUE AVENUE SUITE 202	VLA10002  EXAM COMSTOCK	
JOHN RUSSELL UREN 1590 BELLEVUE AVENUE		
1590 BELLEVUE AVENUE	COMSTOCK	
SLITTE 202	COMSTOCK, NATHAN	
WEST VANCOUVER, BC V7V1A7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	1794	
	NAME DATE:	DEL MEDILLORE
	MAIL DATE 08/17/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/522,703 PESOVIC ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NATHAN E. COMSTOCK 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/522,703 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

 In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) s 1-2, drawn to a procedure for injection molding multi-layer plastic products.

Group II, claim(s) s 3-7, drawn to multi-layer plastic product designs.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: where claims are directed to different categories of inventions, in order to relate to a single general inventive concept under Rule 13.1, the claims must fall within one of the enumerated categories of invention as listed in 37 C.F.R. § 1.475(b).
- 5. In this case, Groups I and II are directed to a product and a process for making a product. In order for the requirements of 7 C.F.R. § 1.475(b) to be satisfied, the process must be specially adapted for the manufacture of said product. The implementing regulations for the PCT, Appendix E, indicate that the phrase that a process be "specially adapted for the manufacture of said product" requires that the process *inherently* result in the formation of the process. Where a claimed process does not inherently result in a claimed product, the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.475(b) are not met. In the case at hand, the claimed process does not inherently result in the

Page 3

claimed product. Claims 3, 4, and 7 are the independent product claims. The process of either of claims 1 or 2 does not result in the products of claim 3, because claim 3 requires thin layers, and neither claim 1 nor claim 2 requires the formation of thin layers. The process of claim 1 does not inherently result in the product of claim 4, because claim 4 requires thick layers, and claim 1 does not require the formation of thick layers. The process of claim 1 does not inherently result in the product of claim 7, because claim 7 requires that the segments of the first layer be joined in a separable manner along the inlet where an 'O' ring is inserted, or with coupling, or in an inseparable manner, by gluing, melting, friction-welding or ultrasonic welding in the inlet joining points, and claim 1 does not require that the segments be joined along the inlets in the specified manners (i.e. claim 1 requires that the claims be joined by "coupling or in any other known way." Therefore, the processes of Group I do not inherently result in the products claimed in Group II.

- Because Groups I and II do not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.475(b),
   they do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, and therefore lack unity of invention.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 8. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1794

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.
- 11. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/522,703 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## CONCLUSION

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN E. COMSTOCK whose telephone number is (571) 270-1133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9am-6pm Eastern Standard Time.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,703 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

13 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/N.E.C./

Nathan E. Comstock Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

12 August 2009